



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | F            | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/719,385                      | 5 11/21/2003 |            | Margot O'Toole       | 031896-90000<br>(AM1000863) | 2575             |
| 22204                           | 7590         | 07/08/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                    |                  |
| NIXON PE                        |              | •          | KIM, YUNSOO          |                             |                  |
| 401 9TH STREET, NW<br>SUITE 900 |              |            |                      | ART UNIT                    | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128       |              |            |                      | 1644                        |                  |
|                                 |              |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/719,385  | O'TOOLE ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yunsoo Kim  | 1644  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c                                  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   | ,   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M  | av 2005.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  |   | secution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,14 and 54-57</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.  | <u> </u>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 54-57</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office                                    | Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/16/05</u> .  | 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:                                 | ratent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/719,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicants' Amendment filed on 5/20/05 has been entered.

Claims 1-12 and 15-53 have been canceled.

Claims 13 and 14 are amended.

Claims 54-57 have been added.

Claims 13, 14 and 54-57 are pending.

- 2. Applicant's IDS filed on 5/20/05 has been acknowledged.
- 3. Upon cancellation of claims 1-12 and 15-53, the rejections under the first and the second paragraphs of 35.U.S.C.112 and the rejections under the 35.U.S.C. 102(e) (sections 7-12) set forth in the previous office action mailed on 2/11/05 are withdrawn.
- 4. The following new grounds of rejections are necessitated by Applicant's amendment to claim filed on 5/20/05.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 13 and 54-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a polypeptide consists of amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2-19 and a pharmaceutical composition comprising of a polypeptide consisting of an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2; does not reasonably provide enablement for a polypeptide "comprising" an amino acid sequence comprising an amino acid of SEQ ID NOs:2-19, a pharmaceutical composition comprising of an amino acid sequence consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 3-19, and a pharmaceutical composition comprising of an amino acid sequence comprising of SEQ ID NOs:2-19. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and /or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1644

The specification does not enable one of skill in the art to practice the invention as claimed without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required to practice the claimed invention are summarized *In re Wands* (858 F2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed.Cir.1988)). The factors most relevant to this rejection are the scope of the claim, the amount of direction or guidance provided, the lack of sufficient working examples, the unpredictability in the art and the amount of experimentation required to enable one of the skilled in the art to practice the claimed invention.

The term "comprising" in said claims are open ended. It expands the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NOs:2-19 to include any additional non-disclosed amino acids. There is insufficient guidance as to which amino acid sequence within the polypeptide can be unique and retain a distinct functional capability of said peptides.

Ngo et al. teach that the amino acid positions within the polypeptide/protein that can tolerate change such as conservative substitution or no substitution, addition or deletion which are critical to maintain the protein's structure will require guidance (see Ngo et al., 1994, The protein folding problem and tertiary structure prediction, pp. 492-494).

It is noted on p. 34 (lines 5-8) of the specification of the instant application, the protein of invention includes derivative, fragment, analog or homolog and any amino acid substitution, insertion or deletion us encompassed by the invention. Therefore, there is insufficient direction as to how to make and use a polypeptide comprising the SEQ ID NOS:2-19. Even single amino acid differences can result in drastically altered functions between two costimulatory proteins. For example, Metzler et al. (Nature Structural Biol. 1997; 4:527-531) show that any of a variety of single amino acid changes can alter or abolish the ability of CTLA4 to interact with its ligands CD80 and CD86 (e.g., summarized in Table 2).

Furthermore, the claim language "comprising an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NOs:2-19 reads on any comprising fragments. "an amino acid sequence of" may mean a fragment of SEQ ID NO: 2-19 as small as 2 residues.

It is at issue whether or not the claimed invention would function as pharmaceutical composition. In view of absence of a specific and detailed in Applicants' specification of how to effectively use the pharmaceutical composition comprising the polypetide of SEQ ID NOs: 3-19 as claimed, and absence of

Art Unit: 1644

working examples providing evidence which is reasonably predictive that the claimed pharmaceutical composition are effective for in vivo use, and the lack of predictability in the art at the time the inventions was made, an undue amount of experimentation would be required to practice the claimed pharmaceutical composition with a reasonable expectation of success.

To summarize, reasonable correlation must exist between the scope of the claims and scope of the enablement set forth. In view or the quantity of experimentation necessary, the limited working example, the unpredictability of the art, the lack of sufficient guidance in the specification, and the breath of the claims, it would take undue trials and errors to practice the claimed invention.

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter; a product of nature.

Claim 56 as written, does not sufficiently distinguish over polypeptide as they exist naturally because the claims do not particularly point out any non-naturally occurring differences between the claimed products and the naturally occurring products. In the absence of the hand of man, the naturally occurring products are considered non-statutory subject matter. *See Diamond v. Chakrabarty*, 447 U.S. 303, 206 USPQ 193 (1980). The claims should be amended to indicate the hand of the inventor, e.g., by insertion of "Isolated" or "Purified". See MPEP 2105.

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13 and 54-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S.Pat. 6,313,264.

Art Unit: 1644

As the claim language "comprising an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NOs:2-19 reads on comprising fragments, "an amino acid sequence of" may mean a fragment of SEQ ID NO: 2-19 as small as 2 residues. It is noted on p. 33 of the specification of the instant application includes "fragments" to polypeptides.

The '264 patent teaches a polypeptide comprising number of fragments (i.e. 2 amino acid residues) of SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant application(see SEQ ID NO:3). Thus, the reference teaching anticipates the claimed invention.

10. SEQ ID NOs:2-19 are free of art.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yunsoo Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30 - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yunsoo Kim

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

June 20, 2005

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

**Primary Examiner** 

Technology Center 1600